UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,883	04/07/2006	Petrus Jacobus Hubertus Van Asten	NL031226	3338
24737 7590 06/08/2009 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 PRIADCLUTE MANOR NY 10510			EXAMINER	
			HARVEY, DAVID E	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/574,883	VAN ASTEN, PETRUS JACOBUS HUBERTUSn			
Office Action Guillinary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DAVID E. HARVEY	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timerill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Se	<u>eptember 2007</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
,,	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 April 2009</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	animer. Note the attached Office	Action of format 10-102.			
		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some c)⊡ None of. 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/12/2007</u> . 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2621

- 1. The drawings are objected to because at least some of the "blocks" illustrated in Figures 1 and 4 are not functionally labeled. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.
- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Art Unit: 2621

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The following is noted:
 - 1) As noted in lines 23-32 on page 2 of the instant specification, despite the fact that it was known to have been desirable to produce seamless continuation of video image playback when transitioning between layers, the referenced "DVD Video Standard" instead required cells recorded on different layers of a DVD disc to be produced with a seam. It is the examiner's current understanding that this "requirement" was made out of necessity in that the reading/decoding circuitry of conventional DVD players were simply incapable of making the cell transition between layers without producing a seam (i.e., the "visible hiccup" referenced in the instant specification). That is, as currently understood by the examiner, it was physically impossible for conventional DVD players to perform seamless reproduction when transitioning between layers.
 - 2) To the extent of the examiner's understanding of the instant invention, it appears that the applicant allegedly overcomes the noted "disadvantage" simply by converting the control signal in conventional "DVD video standard" from a non-seamless state to a seamless state during the transition between layers. However, to the extent of the instant examiner's understanding, such a conversion of the control signals effectively tells conventional DVD players to perform a processing, i.e., to produce a seamless transition, that the player is physically incapable of performing. It is not understood how this disclosed/claimed process, i.e., changing the control signal to a seamless production state (i.e., as is claimed in each of the pending claims), overcomes the noted disadvantage as alleged. Clarification is required.

Art Unit: 2621

5. The following "prior art is noted:

A) US Patent Document #2004/0095812 to Yoshimura et al:

<u>Yoshimura et al</u>. describes a format which permits, at playback, seamless image presentation even when reading data from different layers of a DVD [Note paragraph 0091]

B) US Patent #7,295,762 to Sawabe et al.:

Sawabe et al describes a system which permits, at playback, seamless image presentation even when reading data from different layers of a DVD [Note: lines 61-67 of column 1; and lines 11-24 and 40-63 of column 14]

Art Unit: 2621

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID E. HARVEY whose telephone number is (571) 272-7345. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:00AM to 3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Marsha D. Banks-Harold, can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/DAVID E HARVEY/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621

DAVID E HARVEY Primary Examiner Art Unit 2621